Scientific public organization
"Professional science"

INTERNATIONAL CONGRESS ON DATA, AI, AND POLICY-MAKING

Seattle, USA

www.scipro.ru

UDC 330-399
LBC 60

Editors
Natalya Krasnova | Managing director SPO “Professional science”
Yulia Kanaeva | Logistics Project Officer SPO “Professional science”

International Congress on Data, AI, and Policy-Making, October 30th, 2025, Seattle, USA. SPO “Professional science”, Lulu Inc., 2025, 16 p.

ISBN 978-1-326-00100-1

Presenters outline their work under the following main themes: education, equality and development, pedagogy, language and culture in education, principles of environmental health, physiology, economics, finance & accounting.

The conference is well attended by representatives from more than 5 universities with participation of higher education institutional policymakers, governmental bodies involved in innovating, deans and directors, educational innovators, university staff and umbrella organizations in higher education.

www.scipro.ru

UDC 330-399
LBC 60

9 781326 001001

TABLE OF CONTENTS

AI AND DIGITAL ECONOMY

UDC 33

Rifaat Ibrahim khudhair Shujairi, Baqer Ali Balchat. Digital Money Transfers and Their Role in Enhancing Financial Inclusion and Banking Development: A Theoretical Review

Rifaat Ibrahim khudhair Shujairi,
University of al- Qadisiyah College of Administration and Economics Department of Business Administration
Baqer Ali Balchat,
University of al- Qadisiyah College of Administration and Economics Department of Business Administration

Abstract. *Digital money transfers have become one of the most transformative innovations in the modern financial system. They enable faster, cheaper, and more accessible transactions for individuals and institutions worldwide. This theoretical review explores the role of digital money transfers in promoting financial inclusion and enhancing banking development. It examines how digital transfer technologies – such as mobile banking, fintech applications, and blockchain-based payment systems – have changed the dynamics of financial access, intermediation, and performance in both developed and developing economies. The review also highlights the opportunities, challenges, and policy implications of digital transformation in the financial sector. Findings suggest that digital transfers contribute significantly to financial inclusion by increasing accessibility, affordability, and efficiency of banking services, yet challenges such as digital literacy, cybersecurity, and regulatory limitations remain critical obstacles to sustainable development.*

Keywords*: Digital transfers, Financial inclusion, Mobile banking, Blockchain payments, Cybersecurity*

1. Introduction

The global financial landscape has undergone rapid transformation due to the advancement of digital technologies in the last two decades. Among the most significant innovations are digital money transfers—electronic processes that enable individuals and businesses to send and receive funds instantly without relying on traditional banking channels. These transformations have reshaped the nature of payments, remittances, and financial services (World Bank, 2023).

Financial inclusion—the ability of individuals and businesses to access useful and affordable financial products and services—is now a central goal for policymakers and financial institutions. Digital transfer systems are seen as powerful tools to bridge the gap between the “banked” and “unbanked” populations, particularly in developing countries such as Iraq, where the penetration of traditional banking remains limited (IMF, 2022).

This paper aims to provide a comprehensive theoretical review of how digital money transfers contribute to financial inclusion and banking development. The focus is on the mechanisms through which digital transfers improve efficiency, reduce transaction costs, enhance customer experience, and stimulate the growth of the financial sector.

2. Concept of Digital Money Transfers

Digital money transfers refer to electronic transactions that move monetary value between accounts through digital channels, including mobile wallets, internet banking, and fintech platforms. These systems operate through digital infrastructures that rely on communication networks, encryption technologies, and payment gateways (Zins & Weill, 2016).

Modern digital transfers can be categorized into:

1. **Domestic transfers** – within a single country using mobile or online systems.
2. **Cross-border remittances** – international transfers using global networks such as SWIFT, Ripple, or mobile money operators.
3. **Peer-to-peer transfers (P2P)** – instant payments between individuals using apps like PayPal or Venmo.
4. **Institutional transfers** – corporate or interbank settlements through digital clearinghouses.

The rise of fintech firms and digital banking services has democratized access to financial systems. Digital transfers are now the backbone of financial inclusion strategies, enabling remote and marginalized populations to participate in economic activities without the need for physical bank branches (OECD, 2021).

3. Theoretical Background and Related Studies

The theoretical foundation of digital financial inclusion stems from two key perspectives:

1. **Technology Acceptance Theory (TAM)** – suggesting that perceived ease of use and usefulness determine individuals' adoption of digital financial services (Davis, 1989).
2. **Financial Intermediation Theory** – emphasizing that digital platforms enhance intermediation efficiency by lowering costs and information asymmetries (Levine, 2005).

Empirical studies have shown that mobile-based transfers positively influence financial inclusion in sub-Saharan Africa and Asia (Demirgüç-Kunt et al., 2022). In Kenya, for example, the M-Pesa system expanded access to financial services, reducing poverty and empowering small businesses (Jack & Suri, 2014).

Similarly, studies in the Middle East have revealed that electronic payment systems promote savings and formal banking participation (Al-Smadi, 2019). However, adoption rates depend heavily on infrastructure, trust, and regulatory frameworks.

4. Digital Transfers and Financial Inclusion

Digital money transfers play a crucial role in advancing financial inclusion by overcoming traditional banking barriers such as high costs, limited branch networks, and cumbersome documentation (World Bank, 2023).

4.1 Access and Reach

Digital platforms extend financial services to remote regions through mobile phones and internet connectivity. According to the Global Findex Database (2021), nearly 76% of adults worldwide now have access to a financial account, compared to 51% in 2011, largely due to digital technologies.

4.2 Affordability and Cost Efficiency

Digital transfers reduce transaction costs by eliminating intermediaries. Fintech platforms offer low-cost services, enabling even low-income individuals to perform transfers or savings with minimal fees (Beck et al., 2020).

4.3 Empowerment and Gender Inclusion

Digital transfers also promote gender equity. Women, particularly in developing regions, gain independence by controlling their finances through mobile applications (GSMA, 2022). These services enable direct access to remittances, wages, and government support without male mediation.

4.4 Transparency and Traceability

Electronic transactions enhance transparency by providing digital records. This helps combat corruption, facilitates credit scoring, and integrates informal economies into the formal financial system (Klapper et al., 2022).

5. Digital Transfers and Banking Development

Beyond inclusion, digital money transfers have profound implications for banking development and modernization.

5.1 Operational Efficiency

Banks adopting digital channels experience lower operational costs and faster settlement times. Automation and online service delivery reduce the need for physical branches, allowing banks to allocate resources to innovation (PwC, 2020).

5.2 Customer Relationship and Innovation

Digital banking fosters stronger customer engagement through data analytics and personalized services. Artificial intelligence (AI) and blockchain technologies are increasingly integrated into transfer systems to improve security and service quality (Kou et al., 2021).

5.3 Financial Deepening

Digital transfers encourage the growth of deposits, savings, and investments by simplifying financial transactions. They expand the monetary base and promote capital market integration (Levine, 2005).

5.4 Competitiveness and Globalization

Banks that integrate digital transfer systems gain competitive advantage and expand internationally. Cross-border payment innovations, such as RippleNet and Visa Direct, have accelerated international trade and remittances (McKinsey, 2022).

6. Benefits and Challenges of Digital Transformation

6.1 Benefits

- **Increased accessibility:** Broader outreach to unbanked populations.
- **Cost reduction:** Lower transaction and administrative costs.
- **Speed and reliability:** Near-instantaneous settlement across networks.
- **Data-driven innovation:** Enhanced analytics for financial decision-making.

6.2 Challenges

- **Cybersecurity risks:** Increased vulnerability to hacking and fraud (BIS, 2023).
- **Regulatory uncertainty:** Lack of clear digital banking regulations in many developing countries.
- **Digital divide:** Limited internet access and literacy hinder adoption.
- **Consumer protection:** Risks of identity theft, data misuse, and scams.

These challenges highlight the need for coordinated policies and education to ensure that digital transformation supports sustainable banking development.

7. Discussion

The literature demonstrates a strong theoretical link between digital transfers and both financial inclusion and banking development. Digital payment infrastructure reduces transaction costs and enhances trust, ultimately integrating informal economic actors into the formal financial system (Demirgüç-Kunt et al., 2022).

However, the full potential of digital transfers depends on contextual factors such as governance, infrastructure, and digital literacy. In Iraq and similar economies, regulatory modernization, public awareness, and investment in digital infrastructure are essential to unlocking the developmental impact of digital transfers.

Furthermore, central banks should encourage interoperability among payment systems to avoid market fragmentation. Collaboration between fintech firms and traditional banks could create a hybrid financial ecosystem that promotes innovation while ensuring stability and consumer trust.

Theoretically, digital transformation aligns with the principles of **inclusive growth**, emphasizing that technology can reduce inequality by expanding financial participation. From a macroeconomic perspective, widespread digital transfers increase money velocity, enhance liquidity, and stimulate financial market development.

8. Conclusion

Digital money transfers represent a cornerstone of financial modernization. Their contribution to financial inclusion and banking development is undeniable, as they simplify access, increase efficiency, and promote innovation. However, achieving their full benefits requires addressing challenges related to digital infrastructure, cybersecurity, and regulatory frameworks.

For developing economies, particularly in the Middle East, digital transfers can serve as a catalyst for inclusive and sustainable growth if integrated into national financial strategies.

Policymakers, banks, and fintech companies must collaborate to build resilient digital ecosystems that combine accessibility with security.

In summary, digital money transfers not only reshape how people move money but also redefine the structure and purpose of modern banking, serving as both a driver and a product of financial development.

References

1. Al-Smadi, M. O. (2019). The role of e-banking services in enhancing financial inclusion: Evidence from Jordan. International Journal of Economics and Finance, 11(4), 15–27.
2. Beck, T., Pamuk, H., Ramrattan, R., & Uras, B. (2020). Mobile money, trade credit, and economic development: Theory and evidence. Journal of Development Economics, 144, 102440.
3. Bank for International Settlements (BIS). (2023). Cyber resilience practices in the financial sector. BIS Publications.
4. Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. MIS Quarterly, 13(3), 319–340.
5. Demirgüç-Kunt, A., Klapper, L., Singer, D., Ansar, S., & Hess, J. (2022). The Global Findex Database 2021: Financial Inclusion, Digital Payments, and Resilience in the Age of COVID-19. World Bank.
6. GSMA. (2022). The Mobile Gender Gap Report 2022. GSMA Association.
7. IMF. (2022). Digital financial services and inclusion in the Middle East. International Monetary Fund.
8. Jack, W., & Suri, T. (2014). Risk sharing and transactions costs: Evidence from Kenya’s mobile money revolution. American Economic Review, 104(1), 183–223.
9. Klapper, L., Singer, D., & Ansar, S. (2022). Fintech, financial inclusion, and inclusive growth. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper No. 9982.
10. Kou, G., Chao, X., Peng, Y., & Alsaadi, F. E. (2021). Machine learning methods for systemic risk analysis in financial sectors. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 166, 120653.
11. Levine, R. (2005). Finance and growth: Theory and evidence. Handbook of Economic Growth, 1, 865–934.
12. McKinsey & Company. (2022). The 2022 Global Payments Report.
13. OECD. (2021). Digital disruption in banking and its impact on financial stability. OECD Publications.
14. PwC. (2020). Digital banking maturity and transformation index.
15. World Bank. (2023). Digital financial inclusion and the future of banking. Washington, DC: World Bank Publications.
16. Zins, A., & Weill, L. (2016). The determinants of financial inclusion in Africa. Review of Development Finance, 6(1), 46–57.

UDC 631.52

Basim Mohammed Burhan. Physiological and Biochemical Responses of Horticultural Crops to Drought Stress: Mechanisms of Tolerance and Mitigation Strategies

Basim Mohammed Burhan,
College of Agriculture, University of Al-Qadisiyah, Iraq

Abstract. *Drought stress is one of the most significant environmental challenges limiting the productivity and quality of horticultural crops worldwide. Water deficit disrupts physiological processes such as photosynthesis, respiration, and nutrient assimilation, leading to oxidative damage, hormonal imbalance, and reduced crop yield. Plants adopt a range of adaptive responses, including osmotic adjustment, antioxidant enzyme activation, and hormonal signaling, to maintain homeostasis under stress conditions. This review provides a detailed overview of the physiological, biochemical, and molecular mechanisms of drought tolerance in horticultural crops. Furthermore, it discusses recent advances in agronomic and biotechnological strategies, such as precision irrigation, the use of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR), biostimulants, and nanotechnology, to enhance drought resilience. Understanding these mechanisms is essential for developing sustainable horticultural practices under climate change conditions.*

Keywords*: Drought, physiological mechanisms, osmotic regulation, biostimulants / PGPR, precision irrigation.*

1. Introduction

Water scarcity is one of the most critical challenges threatening agricultural productivity worldwide, particularly in arid and semi-arid regions where horticultural crops are highly dependent on irrigation. Climate change has intensified this challenge by increasing temperature fluctuations, evapotranspiration rates, and the frequency of drought events. Drought stress is defined as a condition in which the availability of soil water is insufficient to meet the demands of plants, leading to reduced growth, physiological dysfunctions, and yield losses (Farooq et al., 2020). It affects almost every physiological and biochemical process within plants, including photosynthesis, nutrient uptake, hormonal balance, and antioxidant defense mechanisms (Anjum et al., 2021).

Horticultural crops such as tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*), grapevine (*Vitis vinifera*), pepper (*Capsicum annuum*), and strawberry (*Fragaria × ananassa*) are particularly sensitive to drought because of their high water demand and shallow root systems. Drought stress not only decreases biomass accumulation but also reduces fruit quality parameters such as size, sugar content, and nutritional value (Hussain et al., 2023). Moreover, continuous drought exposure alters the plant's cellular metabolism, leading to oxidative stress and membrane damage due to the excessive accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Mittler, 2022).

The study of plant physiological and biochemical responses to drought stress has gained significant attention over the past two decades, as understanding these responses provides insights into breeding and management strategies for drought tolerance. The mechanisms involved include stomatal regulation, osmotic adjustment, hormonal signaling, and activation of antioxidant enzymes. These adaptive responses differ among species, cultivars, and environmental conditions (Flexas & Medrano, 2022). Additionally, advances in molecular biology and biotechnology have helped to identify drought-responsive genes and pathways that contribute to improved tolerance (Gupta et al., 2021).

Given the growing need for sustainable horticultural production under limited water resources, it is essential to integrate physiological knowledge with technological innovations such as precision irrigation, biostimulant application, and nanotechnology-based solutions (Mahajan et al., 2022).

This review aims to

(1) describe the physiological and biochemical effects of drought stress on horticultural crops,

(2) discuss the mechanisms of tolerance and their regulation,

(3) highlight potential mitigation strategies to enhance drought resilience.

2. Physiological Responses to Drought Stress

2.1 Photosynthesis and Gas Exchange

Photosynthesis is one of the earliest and most severely affected physiological processes under drought stress. Limited water availability causes stomatal closure to reduce transpiration losses, leading to decreased CO_2 assimilation and reduced photosynthetic rate (Flexas et al., 2021). The decline in photosynthetic efficiency is further exacerbated by non-stomatal limitations, such as the inhibition of photosystem II (PSII) activity and chlorophyll degradation (Chaves et al., 2009).

In tomato plants, drought stress significantly decreases chlorophyll a and b concentrations, resulting in reduced leaf greenness and photochemical efficiency (Abid et al., 2018). Grapevine and citrus species exhibit similar trends, where drought-induced stomatal closure restricts CO_2 diffusion, thereby reducing the carboxylation efficiency of Rubisco enzyme (Carvalho et al., 2019). Additionally, drought alters chloroplast ultrastructure and increases photorespiration, leading to energy loss and the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Zhou et al., 2021).

2.2 Water Relations and Osmotic Adjustment

Maintaining cellular water balance under drought stress is critical for sustaining turgor pressure, cell expansion, and enzymatic activity. Drought reduces leaf relative water content (RWC) and leaf water potential (Ψw), resulting in tissue dehydration and wilting (Farooq et al., 2020). To cope with this, plants accumulate compatible solutes such as proline, glycine betaine, sugars, and polyols, which lower osmotic potential and help retain water in cells (Ashraf & Foolad, 2007).

For instance, drought-tolerant pepper and eggplant cultivars accumulate higher concentrations of proline, which acts as an osmoprotectant and ROS scavenger (Hernández et al., 2019). Similarly, glycine betaine stabilizes proteins and membranes under water deficit, preventing enzyme denaturation and lipid peroxidation (Hayat et al., 2012). These osmolytes not only improve osmotic adjustment but also contribute to cellular homeostasis and stress recovery.

2.3 Stomatal Conductance and Transpiration

Stomatal closure is a key adaptive response that helps plants reduce water loss during drought stress. However, this protective mechanism also limits CO_2 uptake, directly impacting photosynthetic carbon fixation (Jones, 2014). In horticultural crops like cucumber and strawberry, drought-induced stomatal closure correlates strongly with reductions in transpiration and photosynthetic rate (He et al., 2020).

Stomatal regulation is controlled by abscisic acid (ABA), a stress hormone that increases rapidly in leaves and roots during drought (Wilkinson & Davies, 2010). Elevated ABA levels trigger signaling pathways that lead to the activation of ion channels in guard cells, promoting stomatal closure (Tardieu et al., 2018). The degree of stomatal sensitivity to ABA differs among species and cultivars, contributing to their differential drought tolerance.

3. Biochemical Responses to Drought Stress

3.1 Antioxidant Defense Mechanisms

Drought stress increases the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), such as superoxide radicals (O_2^-), hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2), and hydroxyl radicals (OH^-), which can damage proteins, lipids, and nucleic acids (Mittler, 2022). Plants counteract oxidative damage through enzymatic antioxidants like superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), and peroxidase (POD), as well as non-enzymatic antioxidants such as ascorbic acid, glutathione, and carotenoids (Gill & Tuteja, 2010).

For example, drought-stressed tomato and pepper plants exhibit elevated SOD and CAT activities, helping to maintain membrane integrity and reduce lipid peroxidation (Sarker & Oba, 2018). Similarly, in grapevine and olive trees, enhanced antioxidant activity has been linked to improved drought tolerance (Fernández et al., 2019).

3.2 Hormonal Regulation

Phytohormones play a central role in regulating plant responses to drought. Abscisic acid (ABA) is the primary hormone involved in stomatal closure and osmotic regulation. During drought stress, increased ABA levels trigger the expression of stress-responsive genes and activate protein kinases that enhance water-use efficiency (Cutler et al., 2010).

Cytokinins and gibberellins generally promote growth, but their concentrations decrease under drought to conserve energy and resources (Peleg & Blumwald, 2011). In contrast, ethylene and jasmonic acid levels often increase, promoting stress adaptation and senescence. The cross-talk among these hormones determines the plant's tolerance level and recovery capacity.

3.3 Secondary Metabolites and Osmoprotectants

Drought stress stimulates the synthesis of secondary metabolites such as phenolics, flavonoids, and terpenoids, which serve as antioxidants and signaling molecules (Jaleel et al., 2009). Phenolic compounds scavenge ROS and protect cellular structures, while flavonoids contribute to UV protection and oxidative balance. In horticultural crops like basil and rosemary, drought enhances the accumulation of essential oils and phenolic compounds, improving both stress tolerance and economic value (Bettaieb et al., 2011).

4. Molecular and Genetic Responses

Advances in genomics have revealed numerous drought-responsive genes and transcription factors in horticultural crops. Genes encoding late embryogenesis abundant (LEA) proteins, dehydrins, aquaporins, and heat shock proteins (HSPs) are commonly upregulated under drought conditions (Yamaguchi-Shinozaki & Shinozaki, 2006).

Transcription factors such as DREB, NAC, and WRKY families regulate the expression of downstream stress-related genes (Lata & Prasad, 2011). For example, overexpression of *DREB1A* in tomato has been shown to enhance drought tolerance by improving osmolyte accumulation and reducing oxidative stress (Zhang et al., 2020).

Modern molecular breeding and CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing technologies offer promising tools to develop drought-tolerant cultivars with improved yield and water-use efficiency (Karkute et al., 2017).

5. Mitigation and Management Strategies

5.1 Agronomic Approaches

Efficient irrigation techniques such as drip and deficit irrigation are widely adopted to optimize water use in horticultural systems (Kumar et al., 2020). Mulching and soil amendments, including biochar and compost, improve soil water-holding capacity and reduce evapotranspiration losses.

5.2 Biostimulants and PGPR

Biostimulants like seaweed extracts, humic acids, and amino acids improve drought tolerance by enhancing root growth, nutrient uptake, and antioxidant activity (Rouphael & Colla, 2020). Similarly, plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) such as *Azospirillum* and *Bacillus* spp. enhance plant water relations by producing exopolysaccharides and phytohormones (Bhattacharyya & Jha, 2012).

5.3 Nanotechnology Applications

Nanomaterials such as nano-silicon, nano-iron, and nano-zinc have been shown to mitigate drought effects by improving photosynthetic performance and enhancing antioxidant enzyme activities (Mahajan et al., 2022). Controlled-release nanofertilizers also enhance nutrient efficiency under water-limited conditions.

6. Conclusion

Drought stress profoundly affects horticultural crop growth, physiology, and productivity. Understanding the integrated physiological, biochemical, and molecular responses of plants under drought conditions is essential for improving their resilience. Adaptive strategies such as osmotic adjustment, antioxidant defense activation, and hormonal regulation are critical for plant survival under water deficit. Combining conventional breeding, molecular tools, and modern agronomic innovations provides a sustainable pathway to mitigate drought effects and ensure food security in a changing climate. Future research should focus on developing multi-stress tolerant varieties, optimizing irrigation scheduling, and utilizing eco-friendly technologies to enhance water-use efficiency in horticultural systems.

References

1. Abid, M., Ali, S., Qi, L. K., Zahoor, R., Tian, Z., Jiang, D., & Snider, J. L. (2018). Physiological and biochemical changes during drought and recovery periods at tillering and jointing stages in wheat (Triticum aestivum L.). Scientific Reports, 8(1), 4615. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-23146-1
2. Anjum, S. A., Ashraf, U., Tanveer, M., Khan, I., Hussain, S., & Shahzad, B. (2021). Drought induced changes in growth, osmolyte accumulation and antioxidant metabolism of mung bean. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry, 156, 120–131.
3. Ashraf, M., & Foolad, M. R. (2007). Roles of glycine betaine and proline in improving plant abiotic stress tolerance. Environmental and Experimental Botany, 59(2), 206–216.
4. Bhattacharyya, P. N., & Jha, D. K. (2012). Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR): Emergence in agriculture. World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology, 28, 1327–1350.
5. Carvalho, D. R., et al. (2019). Drought stress responses of grapevine under field conditions. Scientia Horticulturae, 245, 81–90.
6. Chaves, M. M., Flexas, J., & Pinheiro, C. (2009). Photosynthesis under drought and salt stress: Regulation mechanisms from whole plant to cell. Annals of Botany, 103(4), 551–560.
7. Cutler, S. R., Rodriguez, P. L., Finkelstein, R. R., & Abrams, S. R. (2010). Abscisic acid: Emergence of a core signaling network. Annual Review of Plant Biology, 61, 651–679.
8. Farooq, M., et al. (2020). Plant drought stress: Effects, mechanisms and management. Agronomy for Sustainable Development, 40(2), 1–25.
9. Flexas, J., & Medrano, H. (2022). Drought inhibition of photosynthesis in C3 plants: Stomatal and non-stomatal limitations revisited. Annals of Botany, 129(1), 55–68.
10. Gill, S. S., & Tuteja, N. (2010). Reactive oxygen species and antioxidant machinery in abiotic stress tolerance in crop plants. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry, 48(12), 909–930.
11. Gupta, A., et al. (2021). Plant responses to drought stress: Physiological, biochemical and molecular mechanisms. Biologia, 76, 1387–1399.
12. He, M., et al. (2020). Stomatal response and photosynthesis under drought in cucumber cultivars. Scientia Horticulturae, 265, 109263.
13. Hernández, M., et al. (2019). Proline metabolism and drought tolerance in plants. Plant Physiology Reports, 24(3), 274–287.

14. Hussain, H. A., et al. (2023). Improving drought tolerance in horticultural crops: Recent advances and future perspectives. Scientia Horticulturae, 314, 111275.

15. Jones, H. G. (2014). Plants and microclimate: A quantitative approach to environmental plant physiology. Cambridge University Press.

16. Mahajan, M., Verma, S., & Yadav, S. K. (2022). Nanotechnology interventions for improving drought tolerance in plants. Frontiers in Plant Science, 13, 836571.

17. Mittler, R. (2022). Oxidative stress, antioxidants and stress tolerance in plants. Trends in Plant Science, 27(4), 345–357.

18. Rouphael, Y., & Colla, G. (2020). Biostimulants in agriculture. Frontiers in Plant Science, 11, 40.

19. Tardieu, F., et al. (2018). Abscisic acid and the control of stomata. Plant Physiology, 178(3), 970–983.

20. Wilkinson, S., & Davies, W. J. (2010). Drought, ABA and stomatal control: Interactions between signalling components. Plant, Cell & Environment, 33(4), 510–525.

21. Zhang, X., Wang, L., Meng, H., Wen, H., Fan, Y., & Wang, X. (2020). Overexpression of DREB1A enhances drought tolerance in transgenic tomato. Plant Molecular Biology Reporter, 38(3), 276–287.

22. Zhou, Y., et al. (2021). Photosystem II efficiency and chlorophyll degradation under drought stress in grapevine. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry, 160, 225–233.

Scientific edition

International Congress on Data, AI, and Policy-Making (Seattle, USA)

Conference Proceedings

October 30th, 2025

Please address for questions and comments on the publications as well as suggestions for cooperation to e-mail address mail@scipro.ru

Edited according to the authors' original texts

Усл. печ. л. 0.8
Оформление электронного издания: НОО
Профессиональная наука, mail@scipro.ru

Lulu Press, Inc.
627 Davis Drive
Suite 300
Morrisville, NC 27560